UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/580,907	05/30/2006	Hiroki Nakamaru	8003-1041	6842
466 YOUNG & TH	7590 05/28/200 OMPSON	EXAMINER		
209 Madison Street			BLACKWELL, GWENDOLYN	
	Suite 500 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/28/2009	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/580,907	NAKAMARU ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	GWENDOLYN BLACKWELL	1794			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed  the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Th 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	ris action is non-final.  vance except for formal matters, pr				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,6-14 and 16-20 is/are pending i 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,6-14 and 16-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	rawn from consideration.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner				
10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination is objected to by the Examination is 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 30 May 2006 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is objected to by the I	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se bection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal I 6)  Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/580,907 Page 2

Art Unit: 1794

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on May 20, 2009 has been entered.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4, 6-14, and 16-20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Page 3

5. Claims 1-4, 6-14, and 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Umino et al. WO 03/042427 in view of United States Patent no. 5,032,236, Saitou et al. *Regarding claims 1-4 and 6-12* 

Umino et al teaches a coating composition for a steel sheet having Zn/Ni galvanizing layer, wherein the coating composition contains the claimed ingredients of metal ions, water soluble organic resin, water dispersible organic resin, glycoluril resin, and acid. The steel sheet can be an electrolytic zinc-coated steel sheet. See Umino et al USPA 2004/0185261 (Abstract; paragraphs 14-30, 34-42; 60-85; Tables 1-8; and Claims)(translation of WO 03/042427). With respect to the limitation of a polymer of a monomer containing a carboxyl and a copolymer of a monomer containing a carboxyl group, the claimed polymer is described in terms of monomer components, as opposed to composition of synthesized polymer, and so the polymers of Umino et al, which have not been demonstrated to be incapable of synthesis from these starting monomers, teach and/or render obvious these materials. Umino et al does not specifically teach that the steel sheet has been blackened prior to coating with the coating composition.

Saitou et al disclose a process that blackens the surface of a steel sheet by electrolyzing a steel sheet in an aqueous solution containing  $Zn^{2+}$  and at least one of  $Fe^{2+}$ ,  $Co^{2+}$ , and  $Ni^{2+}$ , (column 2, lines 50-67).

Umino et al and Saitou et al disclose analogous inventions related to the treatment of zinc coated steel sheets. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art the time of invention to utilize the zinc/nickel coated sheet of Saitou et al as the surface treated metal sheet in Umino et

Application/Control Number: 10/580,907 Page 4

Art Unit: 1794

al in order to have a steel sheet having a distinguished appearance, workability, corrosion resistance, and scratch resistance, (Saitou, column 3, lines 33-40).

Regarding claims 13-14 and 16-20

With regards to the additional limitation that the organic resin permeates minute cracks on the plating surface, it would be expected that the coating layer would inherently fill cracks present on the surface of the coating, absent an objective showing to the contrary. Furthermore, Umino et al teaches heat treatment of the coating which would be expected to result in film expansion to fill cracks.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GWENDOLYN BLACKWELL whose telephone number is 571-272-5772. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jennifer McNeil can be reached on 571-272-1540. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/580,907 Page 5

Art Unit: 1794

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/GWENDOLYN BLACKWELL/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794